UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)		
7.7)	Coiminal Na	OF 10127 NIMO
V.)	Criminal NC	05-10137-NMG
)		
)		
OZELIO PEREIRA DE ASSIS,)		
)		
Defendant.)		

JOINT INITIAL STATUS MEMORANDUM

Pursuant to Local Rule 116.5(A), the parties hereby submit this Joint Initial Status Report to advise the Court of the following:

- 1. Government's disclosure of automatic discovery was just submitted on July 5, 2005. As such, the parties have not yet been able to assess whether any letters requesting discovery and/or motions for discovery will need to be filed. Therefore, the parties seek relief from the otherwise applicable timing requirements imposed by L.R. 116.3.
- 2. The defendant and government seek discovery concerning any expert witnesses, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G). The government has agreed to provide the defendant with discovery concerning any expert witnesses twenty-eight (28) days before trial, and the defendant has agreed to provide the government with any reciprocal discovery concerning expert witnesses within fourteen (14) days of trial as required under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(b)(1)(C).
- 3. Although the government has provided the defendant with all documents and other discoverable materials in this case pursuant to automatic discovery, the government may receive additional discoverable information, which it will turn over to the defense. Additionally, the defendant does not presently have any discoverable information, but pursuant to their agreement to provide reciprocal discovery, will provide the government with

¹The actual due date for disclosure of the government's automatic discovery was June 30, 2005. However, due to other pressing matters, the government was unable to complete its automatic discovery obligation by that date.

any such information received in the future.

- 4. Because defense counsel only received the government's automatic discovery submission this week, he has not yet been able to make a determination as to whether he will be filing any dispositive motions in this case, and, therefore, requests additional time to consider this option before the Court establishes a motion date under Fed. R. Crim. P. 12.
- 5. The parties also request that the period between June 2, 2005 (date of arraignment) and the date of the next scheduled Status Conference be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.
- 6. At the time of this writing, the parties have not yet been able to assess whether a trial will be necessary in this case.
- The parties request that an Interim Status Conference 7. be scheduled for a date in mid to late August, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL J. SULLIVAN United States Attorney

OZELIO PEREIRA DE ASSIS, Defendant

/s/Antoinette E.M. Leoney By: ANTOINETTE E.M. LEONEY Assistant U.S. Attorney 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200 Boston, MA 02108 Boston, MA 02210 (617) 748-3103

/s/Roger Witkin/AEL Roger Witkin, Esq. 6 Beacon Street, Suite 1010 (617) 523-0027

Dated: July 6, 2005